SNUTP/97-111, hep-th/9801063

Bogomolnyi Bound with a Cosmological Constant

Yoonbai Kim and Kyoungtae Kimm

Department of Physics, Sung Kyun Kwan University, Suwon 440-746, Korea

yoonbai@cosmos.skku.ac.kr, dragon@newton.skku.ac.kr

arXiv:hep-th/9801063v1 12 Jan 1998

Abstract

Bogomolnyi-type bound is constructed for the topological solitons in O(3) nonlinear σ model coupled to gravity with a negative cosmological constant. Spacetimes made by self-dual solutions form a class of Gödel-type universe. In the limit of a spinless massive point particle, the obtained stationary metric does not violate the causality and it is a new point particle solution different from the known static hyperboloid and black hole. We also showed that static Nielsen-Olesen vortices saturate Bogomolnyi-type bound only when the cosmological constant vanishes.

PACS number(s): 11.27.+d, 11.10.Kk, 04.40.-b

Typeset using REVTEX

Bogomolnyi bound for the system with a scalar potential shows a characteristic of the vacuum point of zero energy (or zero cosmological constant). This observation is guaranteed by obtaining a self-dual system by forcing the global supersymmetry. In curved spacetime, one may ask an intriguing question of whether or not the zero cosmological constant is also a requirement for the Bogomolnyi-type bound. In the context of supergravity (local supersymmetry) this seems not to be a condition [1]. Another question is about self-dual solitons in the system with constraint but without scalar potential, namely topological lumps in O(3) nonlinear σ model or Skyrmions. In the case of Skyrmions Bogomolnyi equation admits nontrivial solutions on the curved three sphere whereas there does not exist such a self-dual solution in the flat spacetime [2]. Until now the obtained Bogomolnyi bounds in curved spacetime seem to favor the zero cosmological constants, and the supersymmetric extension of them has also been analyzed in Ref. [3]. The Abelian Higgs model and the O(3) nonlinear σ model saturate the Bogomolnyi bound with zero cosmological constant [4,5]. There is a soliton solution of which boundary value does not go to the vacuum point, and this solution constitutes compact two dimensional spatial manifold, specifically two sphere [6]. For the spinning case, e.g., Chern-Simons Higgs model, the scalar potential of the self-dual system in curved spacetime asks negative ϕ^8 terms of which coefficient is proportional to the Newton's constant in addition to ϕ^6 terms for flat spacetime case [7]. However, the boundary values for all finite-energy regular self-dual solitons are obtained in open spacetime to have the zero cosmological constant even under the scalar potential unbounded below [8]. Here we will investigate Bogomolnyi bound of O(3) nonlinear σ model with a nonvanishing cosmological constant.

In this paper, we will study what kind of spacetime geometry is allowed with a nonzero cosmological constant and with a few reasonable matter sources. The matter distribution of our interest is given by the static self-dual solitons of O(3) nonlinear σ model, and thereby the only nonvanishing component of the energy momentum tensor is energy density. This means that the obtained topological lumps saturate so-called Bogomolnyi-type bound in a curved spacetime with a nonvanishing vacuum energy. Though the matter distribution is static and does not carry both internal and external angular momentum, the corresponding metric is stationary due to the nonvanishing vacuum energy. In the limit of a point particle, the obtained stationary solution implies the existence of a new metric different from the known (2+1)-dimensional [(2+1)D] anti de-Sitter solutions, i.e., regular hyperboloid with a

deficit angle [9] or Bañados-Teitelboim-Zanelli (BTZ) type black hole [10]. Since the latter two solutions cover all possible solutions of static metric [9,11], all (2+1)D anti-de Sitter solutions formed by massive point particles are classified by these. On the other hand, the limit of a constant energy density leads to one parameter family of the Gödel-type solutions with including closed timelike curves [12].

Einstein gravity in 2+1 dimensions is described by the action,

$$S = -\frac{1}{16\pi G}\int d^3x\sqrt{g}\ (R + 2\Lambda) + S_{\rm matter}. \tag{1}$$

For static matter distributions, the most general form of metric is stationary:

$$ds^2 = N^2(dt + K_i dx^i)^2 - \gamma_{ij}dx^i dx^j, \tag{2}$$

where N^2, K_i, and γ_{ij} $(i, j = 1, 2)$ are the functions of spatial coordinates and γ_{ij} depicts spacelike hypersurface. From now on, we use γ_{ij} in raising or lowering all spatial indices.

A matter action of our interest is the O(3) nonlinear σ model which admits static topological solitons and saturate Bogomolnyi limit in flat spacetime. Once we assume the self-dual solitons which saturate Bogomolnyi-type bound in relativistic models, a characteristic is the vanishment of ij-components of energy momentum tensor:

$$T^{ij} = 0, \tag{3}$$

and the superposition of the energy of each unit soliton (here we will call $\int d^2x\sqrt{g}T^t_t$ as the energy for simplicity) for multi-center static solitons. In addition, if the solitons do not carry angular momentum, then they have

$$T^i_t = 0. \tag{4}$$

For a matter distribution with nonvanishing energy density T^t_t, the tt-component of Einstein equation is

$$-\frac{2}{b}\partial_z\partial_{\bar z}\ln b + \frac{3}{4}N^2K^2 - \frac{1}{2N}\epsilon^{ij}K_i\nabla_j(N^3K) - \Lambda = 8\pi G T^t_t, \tag{5}$$

where ∇_i is 2D covariant derivative, $K = \epsilon^{ij}\nabla_i K_j$, and we have used conformal gauge $\gamma_{ij} = b(z, \bar z)\delta_{ij}$ with $z = x + iy$. Above all, we solve exactly it-components of the Einstein equation ($G^i_{\ t} = 0$) and obtain

$$N^3K = \kappa, \tag{6}$$

where κ is an arbitrary integration constant. After some calculation, the traceless and trace part of ij-components of the Einstein equation become as follows

$$\frac{1}{b}\partial_{\bar{z}}N = V(z), \tag{7}$$

$$\frac{1}{b}\partial_{\bar{z}}N\Big(4\partial_z\partial_{\bar{z}}\ln b + 2\Lambda b - \frac{3\kappa^2}{2N^4}b\Big) = 0, \tag{8}$$

$$\text{(or equivalently}\quad \frac{4}{b}\partial_z\partial_{\bar{z}}N + 2\Lambda N + \frac{\kappa^2}{2N^3} = 0), \tag{9}$$

where $V(z)$ is an arbitrary holomorphic function. Equation (8) tells us that solutions should satisfy either $4\partial_z\partial_{\bar{z}}\ln b + 2\Lambda b - 3\kappa^2 b/2N^4 = 0$ or $V(z) = \partial_z N/b = 0$. The consistency between Eqs. (5) and (8) provides us a constraint that $V(z)$ should vanish at any point where T^t_t does not vanish. Obviously the easiest way is to look for static solutions with vanishing κ and off-diagonal components of the metric functions vanish, i.e., $K_i = 0$ up to a gauge degree from Eq. (6). Since all nonlinear terms in Eqs. (5) and (9) disappear in the $\kappa = 0$ case, an approach in this direction with a cosmological constant was firstly done in Ref. [9] and the obtained solutions has been interpreted as a two sphere in de Sitter space, and a hyperboloid in anti-de Sitter space. Schwarzschild-type black hole structure was recently reported when the cosmological constant is negative [10], and those solutions are actually half of anti-de Sitter solutions obtained in Ref. [9] but were never tried to be interpreted in that paper [11]. At first glance, the above solutions compatible with static metric may look to be the only natural solutions for static spinless sources. On the other hand, there is no *a priori* reason to set the integration constant κ in Eq. (6) to be zero.

In this paper, let us examine another case, i.e., $V(z) = 0$, which must be applicable to a construction of Bogomolnyi bound with a cosmological constant. Since b is a nonvanishing real function and N is also a real metric function, equation (7) forces $N^2 = |\Lambda|^{-1}$ up to rescaling of time. From Eqs. (6) and (8), we fix the integration constant κ,

$$\kappa^2 = -\frac{4}{\Lambda} \quad (\Lambda \leq 0), \tag{10}$$

and then the metric functions K_i are determined by solving an equation:

$$K = 2|\Lambda|. \tag{11}$$

Note that when $N = const$ in anti-de Sitter spacetime, the metric K_i should not vanish despite of the static matter distribution. Substituting $N^2 = |\Lambda|^{-1}$ and Eq. (10) into Eq. (5), we have

$$\partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} \ln b - 2|\Lambda| b = -4\pi G b T^t_{\ t}. \tag{12}$$

In the limit of negligible core size of such localized self-dual solitons, dynamics in curved spacetime can be replaced by that of spinless point particles at rest. The matter action of the point particles is

$$S_{\rm matter} = \sum_a m_a \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \sqrt{g_{\mu\nu} \frac{dx_a^\mu}{ds} \frac{dx_a^\nu}{ds}}. \tag{13}$$

Though we can have multi-particle solutions of Eq. (12), we now restrict our interest to rotationally symmetric solutions of Eq. (12),

$$b = \frac{\alpha^2}{|\Lambda| r^2 [(r/r_0)^\alpha - (r_0/r)^\alpha]^2}, \tag{14}$$

where the constant α is determined to give the correct right-hand side of (12). Off-diagonal components of the metric are also obtained from Eq. (11), and we have a stationary metric for the static massive but spinless particles sitting in curved spacetime with a negative cosmological constant:

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \left(dt - \frac{\alpha (r/r_0)^\alpha}{(r/r_0)^\alpha - (r_0/r)^\alpha} d\theta \right)^2 - \frac{\alpha^2 (dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2)}{|\Lambda| r^2 [(r/r_0)^\alpha - (r_0/r)^\alpha]^2}, \tag{15}$$

where the valid range of radial coordinate is $0 \le r < r_0$. If we make a coordinate transformation which uses the proper spatial distance as a radial coordinate, i.e., $\tanh \chi = (r/r_0)^\alpha$ ($0 \le \chi \le \infty$, $\alpha = 1 - 4Gm$), and $\vartheta = (1 - 4Gm)\theta$, the metric (15) is rewritten by

$$|\Lambda| ds^2 = \left[dt + \sinh^2 \chi d\vartheta \right]^2 - d\chi^2 - \frac{1}{4} \sinh^2 (2\chi) d\vartheta^2. \tag{16}$$

The spatial part of the above metric is nothing but that of a hyperboloid embedded in 3-dimensional Minkowski space, which has a deficit angle proportional to the point particle mass located at the origin. In fact, this metric is an analog of the 3-dimensional Gödel type cosmological model [12]. However it should be emphasized that the spacetime of Eq. (16) does not violate causality, i.e., there is no closed time-like curves. If we calculate curvature tensor in the orthonormal frame given by $e^{\hat{t}} = [dt + \sinh^2 \chi]/\sqrt{|\Lambda|}$, $e^{\hat{\chi}} = d\chi/\sqrt{|\Lambda|}$, $e^{\hat{\vartheta}} = \sinh(2\chi) d\vartheta / 2\sqrt{|\Lambda|}$, then we have $R_{\hat{\mu}}{}^{\hat{\nu}} = \mathrm{diag}(2, 2, 2)$. This clearly shows that the spacetime is homogeneous and has constant curvature except for the origin.

Though the structure of the spatial manifold is the same as that obtained in Ref. [9], the existence of the t-ϑ cross term implies a difference in dynamics of both classical and quantum test particles. For a classical test particle, let us look for geodesic equation

$$\varepsilon = -\dot{\chi}^2 + \gamma^2 - \tanh^2\chi\left(\gamma - \frac{\ell}{\sinh^2\chi}\right)^2, \tag{17}$$

where $\varepsilon = g_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\mu\dot{x}^\nu$ is the mass of the test particle which can be rescaled to zero or one, and γ and ℓ are two constants of motion for two killing vectors $\partial/\partial t$, $\partial/\partial\vartheta$ respectively (all quantities were rescaled with respect to $\sqrt{|\Lambda|}$):

$$\dot{t} = \frac{\gamma + \ell}{\cosh^2\chi} \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{\vartheta} = \frac{1}{\cosh^2\chi}\left(\gamma - \frac{\ell}{\sinh^2\chi}\right). \tag{18}$$

The allowed motions of the massive test particle are circular orbit or the bound orbit between the perihelion and aphelion, however those of the massless test particle are unbounded. The orbits are explicitly given by

$$\cos(\vartheta - \vartheta_0) = 2\frac{(\gamma + 2\ell)\sinh^2\chi + \ell}{\sqrt{(\gamma + 2\ell)^2 - \varepsilon}\sinh(2\chi)}. \tag{19}$$

When it does not carry the angular momentum ℓ, the massless test particle injected from $\chi = \infty$ with zero impact parameter is deflected by 90 degree irrespective of its mass ε and energy γ when it arrives at $\chi = 0$. On the other hand, the $\ell \neq 0$ massless test particle keeps straight when it reaches the perihelion at $\chi_{\rm peri} = \sinh^{-1}(\ell/\gamma\sqrt{1 + 2\ell/\gamma})$. If we write down the energy-momentum tensor with inclusion of the vacuum energy term such as

$$\tilde{T}^{\mu\nu} = T^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\Lambda}{8\pi G}g^{\mu\nu}, \tag{20}$$

we easily notice that the negative cosmological constant term plays effectively a role of angular momentum:

$$J = \int d^2x\epsilon_{ij}x^i\tilde{T}^{tj} = \frac{\Lambda}{8\pi G}\int dx^2\epsilon_{ij}x^i g^{tj} \neq 0. \tag{21}$$

In this sense the negative cosmological constant provides a rotating frame to the test particle and its role resembles that of background electromagnetic field to a charged particle. The quantum physics in the background gravity of which the background is provided by (16) is expected to raise a question of whether the energy is quantized or the angular momentum similar to the case of spinning strings given by the 3-dimensional "Kerr solution" [13]. However, technical difficulty in the separation of variables hinders detailed analysis.

The opposite limit is to let the size of soliton become infinite, and then the energy-momentum tensor is expressed by

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \rho V^\mu V^\nu, \tag{22}$$

where ρ is a constant energy density and $V^\mu = \delta^\mu_t$. The it- and ij-components of Einstein equation are the same as those of the points particles except for the normalization $N^2 = |\beta\Lambda|^{-1}$, and remaining temporal component of the Einstein equation is also reduced to a Liouville equation

$$\partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} \ln b = 2\beta|\Lambda| b, \tag{23}$$

where $\beta \equiv 1 - 2\pi G\rho/|\Lambda|$. Rotationally symmetric solutions are classified by the value of β: When $\beta = 0$, the line element is flat such as

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{|\Lambda|}(dt - r^2 d\theta)^2 - \frac{1}{|\Lambda|}(dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2). \tag{24}$$

When $\beta \neq 0$, it becomes

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{|\beta\Lambda|}\left(dt - \frac{r/r_0 d\theta}{\sqrt{|\beta|}(r/r_0 \mp r_0/r)}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{|\beta\Lambda|}\frac{dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2}{r^2(r/r_0 \mp r_0/r)^2}. \tag{25}$$

Once again let us do a coordinate transformation. For $\beta > 0$, $r/r_0 = \tanh\chi$ and then we have

$$|\beta\Lambda| ds^2 = \left(dt + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}}\sinh^2\chi d\theta\right)^2 - d\chi^2 - \frac{1}{4}\sinh^2(2\chi)d\theta^2. \tag{26}$$

This metric describes one parameter family of Gödel-type universe in 3-dimensions. Unlike the spacetime of the point particle it has no deficit angle. For $\beta < 0$, $r/r_0 = \tan\chi$ and the metric (25) is transformed to

$$|\beta\Lambda| ds^2 = \left(dt + \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\beta|}}\sin^2\chi d\theta\right)^2 - d\chi^2 - \frac{1}{4}\sin^2(2\chi)d\theta^2. \tag{27}$$

Note that the above metric in Eq. (26) depicts the original Gödel universe when $\beta = 1/2$ [12]. Introducing an orthonormal frame $e^{\hat{t}} = [dt + (1/\sqrt{\beta})\sinh^2\chi d\theta]/\sqrt{|\beta\Lambda|}$, $e^{\hat{\chi}} = d\chi/\sqrt{|\beta\Lambda|}$, $e^{\hat{\vartheta}} = \sinh(2\chi)d\theta/2\sqrt{|\beta\Lambda|}$, we have constant curvature $R_{\hat{\mu}}{}^{\hat{\nu}} = \mathrm{diag}(2, 4 - 2/\beta, 4 - 2/\beta)$. Although this metric describes a homogeneous universe, it contains closed time-like curves for the range, $\tanh\chi > \sqrt{\beta}$. In addition to two Killing vectors, $\partial/\partial t$, $\partial/\partial\theta$ corresponding to time translation and rotational invariance, we can find two more Killing vectors:

$$X_{(1)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} \tanh\chi \sin\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \cos\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi} + 2\coth(2\chi)\sin\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \tag{28}$$

$$X_{(2)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} \tanh\chi \cos\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sin\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi} + 2\coth(2\chi)\cos\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}. \tag{29}$$

These four Killing vectors satisfy the following so(2,1) algebra:

$$\begin{aligned} \Big[X_{(0)}, X_{(i)}\Big] &= 0 \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), \\ \Big[X_{(3)}, X_{(1)}\Big] &= 2X_{(2)}, \quad \Big[X_{(3)}, X_{(2)}\Big] = -2X_{(1)}, \\ \Big[X_{(1)}, X_{(2)}\Big] &= -2X_{(3)}, \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

where $X_{(0)} = \partial/\partial t$, $X_{(3)} = (1/\sqrt{\beta})\partial/\partial t + 2\partial/\partial\theta$. When $\beta = 1$ this set of Killing vectors coincides with that of the metric (16).

Now we consider O(3) nonlinear σ model described by the matter action

$$S_\sigma = \int d^3x \sqrt{g} \frac{\mu^2}{2} \partial_\mu \phi^a \partial^\mu \phi^a \quad (\phi^a \phi^a = 1, \quad a = 1, 2, 3), \tag{31}$$

where we have inserted mass scale μ. Similarly, it-components of the energy-momentum tensor vanish for any static objects in this model. Now we concentrate on the static regular self-dual solitons, so to speak the extended objects ϕ^a satisfying the self-dual equation

$$\partial_i \phi^a \pm \epsilon_i{}^j \varepsilon^{abc} \phi^b \partial_j \phi^c = 0. \tag{32}$$

A characteristic of self-dual solitons is the vanishment of ij-components of the energy-momentum tensors:

$$T^{ij} = \frac{\mu^2}{4} \Big\{ (\partial^i \phi^a \pm \epsilon^i{}_k \epsilon^{abc} \phi^b \partial^k \phi^c)(\partial^j \phi^a \mp \epsilon^j{}_l \epsilon^{abc} \phi^b \partial^l \phi^c) + (i \leftrightarrow j) \Big\} = 0. \tag{33}$$

A requirement is the reproduction of Euler-Lagrange equation from the Bogomolnyi equation (32), and then we get a constraint

$$N^{-1} \Big[V(z)\partial_z + \bar{V}(\bar{z})\partial_{\bar{z}} \Big] \phi^a = 0. \tag{34}$$

Suppose that $V(z)$ does not vanish. Rewriting the above equation (34) by introducing a real variable $\zeta_1 = (1/2)[\int^z dw/V(w) + \int^{\bar{z}} d\bar{w}/\bar{V}(\bar{w})]$ such as $N^{-1}\partial_{\zeta_1}\phi^a = 0$, one may conclude that any ϕ^a-configuration independent of ζ_1 cannot describe nontrivial soliton solutions. Therefore $V(z)$ should be zero everywhere, the whole procedure is repeated as has been done in the previous cases. If we substitute $N^2 = |\Lambda|^{-1}$ and Eq. (10) into Eq. (5), the Einstein equation is expressed by

$$\partial_z\partial_{\bar{z}}\ln b - 2|\Lambda|b = -\pi G\mu^2 b\epsilon^{ij}\varepsilon^{abc}\phi^a\partial_i\phi^b\partial_j\phi^c. \tag{35}$$

Note that the remaining equations are the self-dual equation (32) and the tt-component of Einstein equation (35). Thus we now obtain Bogomolnyi-type bound of the O(3) nonlinear σ model when the cosmological constant is nonpositive. For convenience we use stereographic projection of ϕ^a field onto complex u-plane:

$$u = \frac{\phi^1 + i\phi^2}{1+\phi^3}. \tag{36}$$

Inserting Eq. (36) into Eq. (35), we have

$$\partial_z\partial_{\bar{z}}\ln b - 2|\Lambda|b = -8\pi G\mu^2\partial_z\partial_{\bar{z}}\ln(1+\bar{u}u). \tag{37}$$

Self-dual solutions of Eq. (32) are given by (anti-)holomorphic function and, specifically, the most general solution corresponding topological charge n is

$$u = c\prod_{i=1}^{n}\frac{z-a_i}{z-b_i}, \tag{38}$$

where a_i and b_i are complex numbers. Let us consider the soliton solution of unit topological charge, i.e., $u = z_0/z$. Only when $2\pi G\mu^2 = 1$, an exact solution of Eq. (37) is obtained,

$$b = \frac{1}{|\Lambda|r_0^2[1+(r/r_0)^2]^2}. \tag{39}$$

By solving Eq. (11) again, the line element becomes

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{|\Lambda|}\left(dt + \frac{(r/r_0)^2 d\theta}{1+(r/r_0)^2}\right)^2 - \frac{dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2}{|\Lambda|r_0^2[1+(r/r_0)^2]^2}. \tag{40}$$

Transforming the radial coordinate to the proper spatial radius χ, i.e., $r/r_0 = \tan\chi$ ($0 \le \chi \le \pi/2$), we obtain

$$|\Lambda|ds^2 = \left(dt + 2\sin^2\chi d\theta\right)^2 - d\chi^2 - \frac{1}{4}\sin^2(2\chi)d\theta^2. \tag{41}$$

This metric corresponds to the $\beta = -1/4$ case of the constant energy density in Eq. (27) and γ_{ij} part of Eq. (41) depicts a perfect two sphere. The existence of the closed timelike curves of this metric can be easily read from the $g_{\theta\theta}$. Indeed, for the range $\pi/4 < \chi < \pi/2$, the circles $t = \chi = const$ are closed timelike curves.

When $2\pi G\mu^2 < 1$, the asymptotic form of the metric function $b(r)$ behaves $1/r^4$ and it implies that its γ_{ij} part also describes a 2D manifold which is topologically equivalent to S^2. The causality violation in the metric is manifest due to the relation in Eq. (11).

Though we obtained static non-self-dual solitons of static metric including charged BTZ black holes [14], and static self-dual solitons of a stationary metric in this paper, we do not know whether or not there is static non-self-dual solitons of a stationary metric in anti-de Sitter spacetime, yet.

Another intriguing soliton to saturate the Bogomolnyi-type bound is the Nielsen-Olesen vortex in Abelian Higgs model described by the action

$$S_{AH} = \int d^3x\sqrt{g}\Big\{ -\frac{1}{16\pi G}(R+2\Lambda) - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + (D_\mu\phi)^*(D^\mu\phi) - V(\phi)\Big\}, \tag{42}$$

where $D_\mu\phi = (\partial_\mu - ieA_\mu)\phi$. In curved spacetime, the Bogomolnyi bound has also been achieved when the cosmological constant is zero [4]. Similar to the self-dual solitons in the O(3) nonlinear σ model, these static self-dual vortices are spinless ($T^i_t = 0$) and stressless ($T^{ij} = 0$) if they saturate the Bogomolnyi bound. The whole story for the Einstein equations is the same as the previous procedure for the self-dual solitons in the O(3) nonlinear σ model. However, the last condition remains that the self-dual equations should reproduce Euler-Lagrange equations. If they are static electrically-neutral ($F_{it} = 0$) vortices with quantized magnetic flux, Gauss' law gives a constraint

$$N^2K\epsilon^{ij}F_{ij} = 0. \tag{43}$$

Since vortices carry nonzero magnetic field, we have $N^2K = 0$ which cannot be consistent with Eqs. (6) and (10) for the case of nonvanishing cosmological constant. Therefore, we lead to the conclusion that the static magnetically-charged vortices cannot saturate the Bogomolnyi bound in both de Sitter and anti-de Sitter spacetime.

This work was supported by the Ministry of Education (BSRI/97-2418), the KOSEF (Grant No. 95-0702-04-01-3), and Faculty Research Fund, Sung Kyun Kwan University, 1997.

REFERENCES

[1] J.M. Izquierdo and P.K. Townsend, Class. Quant. Grav. **12**, 895 (1995).

[2] N.S. Manton and P.J. Ruback, Phys. Lett. B **188**, 226 (1987); N. Manton, Commun. Math. Phys. **111**, 469 (1987).

[3] E. Witten, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **10**, 1247 (1995); K. Becker, M. Becker, and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. D **51**, R6603 (1995).

[4] B. Linet, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **20**, 451 (1988); A. Comtet and G.W. Gibbons, Nucl. Phys. B **299**, 719 (1988).

[5] G.W. Gibbons, M.E. Ortiz and F. Ruiz Ruiz, Phys. Rev. D **39**, 1546 (1989).

[6] B. Linet, Class. Quantum Grav. **7**, L75 (1990); C. Kim and Y. Kim, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 1040 (1994); Y. Yang, Commun. Math. Phys. **170**, 540 (1995).

[7] P. Valtancoli, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **7**, 4335 (1992); D. Cangemi and C. Lee, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 4768 (1992).

[8] B. Chung, J. Chung, and Y. Kim, in preparation.

[9] S. Deser and R. Jackiw, Ann. Phys. **153**, 405 (1984).

[10] M. Bañados, C. Teitelboim, and J. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 1849 (1992); M. Bañados, M. Henneaux, C. Teitelboim, and J. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. D **48**, 1506 (1993).

[11] N. Kim, Y. Kim, and K. Kimm, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 8029 (1997); Preprint SNUTP/97-022, gr-qc/9707011.

[12] K. Gödel, Rev. Mod. Phys. **21**, 447 (1949); S.W. Hawking and G.F.R. Ellis, *The Large Scale Structure of Space-time* (Cambridge U. P., Cambridge, 1973).

[13] P.O. Mazur, Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**, 929 (1986), *ibid* **59**, 2380 (1987); J. Samual and B.R. Iyer, *ibid* **59**, 2379 (1987).

[14] Y. Kim and S.-H. Moon, Preprint SNUTP/97-112.